

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 353 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.4.2016

Daljeet Singh

.. Appellant

v.

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate for the appellant.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Aggrieved against the order passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition filed by the appellant seeking quashing of the order dated 26.8.2015 rejecting his request for reinstatement on completion of suspension period, the present intra-court appeal has been filed. The grievance is also regarding payment of subsistence allowance for certain period.

The appellant was placed under suspension on 13.8.2012. It is not in dispute that he was reinstated on 20.12.2012 and joined his duty on 24.12.2012. He retired from service on 30.9.2015 on attaining the age of superannuation. He was suspended in contemplation of enquiry on allegations of serious financial irregularities. The enquiry has not been concluded.

Considering the aforesaid facts, in our opinion, the interim issue regarding payment of salary to the appellant for the intervening period

cannot be decided at this stage. The learned Single Judge has dismissed the writ petition considering the same to be pre-mature, as the grievance of the appellant, if any, can be redressed after conclusion of the enquiry against him.

Concurring with the view expressed by the learned Single Judge, we do not find any merit in the present appeal. Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

27.4.2016
mk