

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.3 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.01.2016

Sunil

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR**

Present: Mrs. Shalini Atri, Advocate
for the appellant.

HEMANT GUPTA, J.(Oral)

The present appeal under Clause X of the Letters Patent is directed against an order passed by learned Single Judge on 01.12.2015 whereby the writ petition filed by the appellant challenging appointment of respondent No.3 as Junior Technical Assistant remained unsuccessful.

The ground of challenge was that the said respondent is graduate in Engineering in Textile and not in Textile Technology as per the advertisement dated 10.01.2009 (Annexure P1).

The said challenge has not been accepted by the learned Single Judge on the ground that nomenclature of 'Technology' and 'Engineering' are being used interchangeable by various universities and institutes. Therefore, mere fact that respondent No.3 has got a

degree in Textile Engineering will not make him ineligible for the post advertised wherein the qualification was of diploma in Textile Technology. It has been rightly found that respondent No.3 possesses higher qualification than advertised and that 'Technology' and 'Engineering' are interchangeable terminologies used by institutes and universities differently at times.

In view of the said fact, we do not find any illegality or irregularity in the order passed by learned Single Bench, which may warrant any interference in the present appeal.

Dismissed.

[HEMANT GUPTA]
JUDGE

January 08, 2016
rashmi

[SNEH PRASHAR]
JUDGE