

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 29 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision: January 12 , 2016.

Homerton Grammar School

..... APPELLANT (s)

Versus

Sanjeev Kumar and another

..... RESPONDENT (s)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL**

Present: Mr. Munish Behl, Advocate
for the appellant.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reports or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

RAJIVE BHALLA, J.

The appellant is aggrieved, by part of order dated 08.12.2015 imposing costs of ₹75,000/- while allowing the writ petition, and granting an opportunity to the appellant to lead evidence.

We have heard counsel for the appellant and find no reason to interfere, particularly, as the appellant's grouse that adequate opportunity was not granted to lead evidence, has been allowed. The fact, that some of the opportunities for leading evidence fell on the dates when the Presiding Officer

was transferred or a Presiding Officer was not posted, may be relevant but as the workman was not at fault, the discretion exercised while imposing costs, in our considered opinion, cannot be interfered with much less by deleting or reducing costs.

Dismissed.

**(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE**

January 12 , 2016.
'om'

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**