

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM No.9926-CI of 2016 in/and
RFA No.10325 of 2014
Date of Decision : 10.11.2016.

Nirmal Dass

.....Appellant

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate for the applicant/appellant.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate for respondent No.3.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

This is an application to dispose of the main case, which is pending post admission, for the matter in issue purports to be covered by the judgment/order dated 20.05.2016, rendered by this Court, in RFA No.4475 of 2012 (Ram Chander and another Vs. State of Haryana and others) and other connected appeals, vide which, compensation awarded to the landowners was enhanced by this Court.

For, there was also a dispute as regards apportionment of compensation between the applicant/appellant and respondent No.3, notice was accordingly issued to the said respondent.

Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate has caused appearance on behalf of respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the applicant/appellant submits that as he does not wish to pursue his claim as regards apportionment, under Section 30 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, against respondent No.3, the same be deemed to have been given up, and his appeal be disposed of in terms of the decision rendered by this Court, in the case of Ram Chander and another (supra). That being so, the only dispute that remains is between the applicant/appellant and the State.

Learned State counsel does not dispute that the matter is indeed covered by the decision rendered by this Court in the case of Ram Chander (supra).

That being so, the appeal is disposed of in terms of the decision dated 20.05.2016, rendered by this Court in RFA No.4475 of 2012.

10.11.2016

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No