

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

136

Letters Patent Appeal No.2494 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: December 23, 2016

Talwinder Singh

....Appellant

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of two appeals bearing **Letters Patent Appeal Nos.2494 and 2495 of 2016** as learned counsel for the appellant(s) states that the issue involved therein is identical. For brevity, the facts are being extracted from Letters Patent Appeal No.2494 of 2016

2. The present appeal has been filed under Clause X of the Letters Patent against the judgment passed by learned Single Judge in **Civil Writ Petition No.23802 of 2014** on 11.07.2016 whereby the claim of the appellant-petitioner for appointment in Government service in lieu of acquisition of her land measuring less than 4 kanals, had turned down such claim on the basis of the revised Government policy whereunder it was resolved that no public employment is to be offered to one family member of the landowner where the acquired land is less than 4 kanals.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant(s) fairly submitted that against the impugned judgment dated 11.07.2016, the Division Bench of this Court had already dismissed Letters Patent Appeal No.1674 of 2016 on merits on 05.09.2016.

4. In view thereof, the present appeals are also dismissed for the reasons recorded in Letters Patent Appeal No.1674 of 2016 which was dismissed on 05.09.2016.

5. Since, the present appeals have been dismissed on merits, therefore, no further orders are called for in the application for condonation of delay.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

December 23, 2016
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No