

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 3482 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.11.2016

Angrej Singh

.....Appellant

vs.

Harnam Kaur and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

After this appeal was filed in the year 2012, it never having been argued four times in succession, it was dismissed for non-prosecution, vide order dated 28.01.2016.

Thereafter, upon an application made, it was restored to its original number, vide order dated 23.02.2016.

After the appeal was restored, it has again never been argued for the past six dates.

On 04.10.2016, a last opportunity was given on request of learned counsel to argue the matter on the next date, failing which it would be deemed to have been dismissed-in-default; but it having been simply adjourned on 05.10.2016 to 17.10.2016, on that date, learned counsel had submitted that the parties are in the process of settlement and the matter may be settled within a day or so. Consequently, it was adjourned to 21.10.2016.

On that date again none appeared for the appellant despite two

calls having been made and consequently, again an order was passed while adjourning the matter till today, that if the appeal is not argued on the next date of hearing, it would be deemed to have been dismissed-in-default.

Since today again, on second call also, none is present, it is very obvious that the appellant no longer wishes to pursue the appeal.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

A copy of this order be sent to the appellant, as also to the courts below.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

November 29, 2016
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.