

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.920 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 10, 2016.

Kalawati Punia and others

... Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Shri K.C. Rajput, Advocate, for the appellants.

Shri Vishal Garg, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Shri Rajesh Garg, Senior Advocate with
Shri Amit Chaudhary, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral):

This letters patent appeal is directed against the order dated 4.12.2014 passed by the learned Single Judge whereby two writ petitions bearing CWP No.11056 of 2011 (Satender Kumar and others v/s State of Haryana and others) and CWP No.11226 of 2011 (Kalawanti Punia and others v/s State of Haryana and others), challenging the orders of recovery passed against the employees of the District Courts were dismissed. The appellants were writ petitioners in CWP No.11226 of 2011.

It is not in dispute that LPA No.171 of 2015 against the order of the learned Single Judge arising out of CWP No.11056 of 2011 has been

dismissed by a Coordinate Bench on 30.1.2015 and SLP (Civil) No.6207 of 2016 filed against that order also stands dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 11.4.2016.

In this view of the matter and for the reasons already assigned by this Court in the above stated case, we do not find any merit in this appeal.

Dismissed.

[Surya Kant]
Judge

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge

May 10, 2016.
kadyan