

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.727 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.07.2016

Paramjit Kaur

... Appellant

VS.

Punjabi University, Patiala and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes / No |
| 3. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes / No |
| 4. To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes / No |
| 5. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes / No |

Present: Mr. JS Toor, Advocate for the appellant

Mr. Vijay K Jindal, Advocate for respondents No.1, 3 & 4

Ms. Rajwant Kaur, Advocate for

Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate for respondent No.7

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) The appellant was admitted to M.P.Ed. Course for the Session 2014-16 in Government College of Physical Education, Patiala, which is affiliated with Punjabi University, Patiala. Her admission was challenged by 7th respondent on the ground that he was higher in merit and was illegally denied admission. He made a prayer to grant him admission either in place of private respondents No.5 to 7 or to create additional seat for him. The appellant herein is respondent No.7. Learned Single Judge vide order under appeal allowed the writ petition and directed that the writ-petitioner (now 7th respondent) be admitted to the Course by creating additional seat for which the University had no objection. The University was granted liberty to call upon the appellant to show cause and justify her retention in the Course.

(2) Since the appellant too was claiming that she was admitted as

per merit, she has filed the instant appeal.

(3) It is not in dispute that the appellant has meanwhile completed her Course. No prejudice has been caused to the writ-petitioner for whom additional seat was ordered to be created and was actually created also though we are informed that he was not admitted for some other reason.

(4) In this view of the matter, we dispose of the appeal by modifying para No.3 of the order of learned Single Judge to the extent that in the light of the above-stated events, no further action shall be called for against the appellant.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

27.07.2016
vishal shonkar/Bhumika

(Darshan Singh)
Judge