

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 194 of 2016 ( O&M )  
DATE OF DECISION : 10.02.2016

State of Haryana and another

.... APPELLANTS

Versus

Rajender Kumar

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present : Mr. Indresh Goel, Addl. A.G., Haryana, for the appellants.

**SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J.** ( Oral )

This intra-court appeal under Clause X of the Letters Patent has been filed against the order dated 05.12.2014 passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby the writ petition (CWP No. 23965 of 2013) filed by Rajender Kumar (respondent herein) has been dismissed in terms of the decision dated 14.11.2014 rendered in Rajbir Singh Versus State of Haryana and others (CWP No. 25512 of 2012).

The appeal (LPA No. 585 of 2015) filed by the State of Haryana as well as the other appeals filed by the employees challenging the aforesaid decision dated 14.11.2014 have been dismissed as infructuous vide order dated 23.07.2015, which reads as under :-

*“This order shall dispose of  
aforementioned 13 appeals as these are directed  
against the common judgment dated 14.11.2014  
passed by the learned Single Judge whereby the  
writ petitions filed by the respondents herein*

*were allowed and the instructions dated 28.02.2013 issued by the Government of Haryana providing 20% reservation in promotion in Class – III and IV posts to Scheduled Castes employees was set aside.*

*Today, learned counsel for the State has placed on record a fresh instructions dated 15.05.2015, whereby the earlier instructions dated 28.02.2013 to the extent of providing for reservation in promotion to Class III and Class IV posts for Scheduled Castes category employees has been withdrawn and the new policy on the basis of the report submitted by P. Raghvendra Rao Committee has been formulated providing 20% reservation in promotion with consequential seniority to the Scheduled Castes category employees in Class – III and IV posts.*

*In view of the above, the present appeals have become infructuous.*

*Ordered accordingly.”*

Learned counsel for the appellants states that in view of the aforesaid order, this appeal may also be dismissed.

Ordered accordingly.

**( SATISH KUMAR MITTAL )**  
**JUDGE**

**February 10, 2016**  
**ndj**

**( HARINDER SINGH SIDHU )**  
**JUDGE**