

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1884 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision :19.12.2016

Atma Singh

....Appellant

Versus

The Financial Commissioner and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR.SHEKHER DHAWAN

...

Present: Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

...

MAHESH GROVER, J.

CM No.3889-LPA of 2016

Marginal delay of 12 days in re-filing the appeal is
condoned.

C.M.stands allowed.

CM No.3891-LPA of 2016

Allowed as prayed for.

CM No.3890-LPA of 2016 in/and
LPA No.1884 of 2016

This appeal is directed against the judgment of the
learned Single Judge dated 30.03.2016, who while accepting the
claim of respondent No.5 as Lambardar upheld the choice of the
Collector and the subsequent authorities before whom the matter
was agitated.

Learned counsel for the appellant while impugning this judgment contends that the appellant is a Matriculate and 51 years of age with 56 kanals of land besides being a son of Lambardar, whereas the selected candidate is 12th pass and 38 years of age with 14 kanals of land. It is thus contended that the appellant is more meritorious.

We, however, fail to see any exceptional merit in the claim of the appellant and notice from the orders of the Collector that respondent No.5 despite having above noted qualification was also an active sportsman besides being an Ex-serviceman. Therefore, we do not find any reason to interfere. Apart from this, the appeal is also barred by an inordinate delay of 75 days which we do not intend to condone in the absence of any plausible explanation.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

19.12.2016
dss

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No