

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1869 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 22.11.2016

Harcharan Singh and others

....Appellants

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR.SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate
for the appellants.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J.

CM No.3868-LPA of 2016

Allowed as prayed for.

CM No.4603-LPA of 2016

C.M.is allowed. Annexure A-8 is taken on record.

LPA No.1869 of 2016

The appellants have impugned the judgment of the learned Single Judge dated 08.08.2016.

The learned Single Judge noticed with reference to the record that no prejudice of any kind to the appellants manifest itself from the reading of the order impugned in the writ petition. A specific mention was made that the appellants were unable to deny the fact that only 2% of the total area which was already being irrigated from outlet No.25543L was being transferred as against the permissible 10%, largely on the ground that with the new arrangement of irrigation coming into existence and necessitated on account of some

partition between shareholders, no prejudice to the appellants was visible. The writ petition was dismissed.

Before us learned counsel for the appellants placed on record some material i.e. site plan which was not there before the learned Single Judge to highlight his grievance but repeated questions by the Court failed to elicit any cogent response about the prejudice being caused to the appellants with the new arrangement sanctioned by the canal authorities.

We are, therefore, unable to appreciate the grievance of the appellants in the absence of any prejudice particularly when one is sought to be projected on material which was never there before the learned Single Judge.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

22.11.2016
dss

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No