

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

STA No. 12 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 9.2.2016

Mohd. Mustkeen

....Appellant.

Versus

Commissioner of Central Excise, Chandigarh and another

...Respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG.**

PRESENT: Mr. Sudeep Singh, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Sukhdev Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

For orders, see **STA No. 10 of 2011 (M/s City Cable,
Bathinda v. Commissioner of Central Excise, Ludhiana).**

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

February 9, 2016
gbs

**(RAJ RAHUL GARG)
JUDGE**