

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

R.S.A.No.2903 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision: April 22nd, 2016

Billu Ram

...Appellant

Versus

Pardeep Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr.Sajjan Singla, Advocate for
Mr.Saurabh Arora, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent, as per instructions received from the client, submits that the matter has been compromised.

There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. On the last date of hearing, there was no representation on behalf of the parties.

The present appeal is disposed of having been rendered infructuous in view of the compromise.

However, liberty is granted to the appellant move an application in case the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent is found incorrect.

April 22nd, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE