

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No.1693 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 05, 2016

Vinod Kumar Gupta

.....Appellant

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.S.S.Antal, Advocate, for the appellant.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J.

Since one of the grievance in this intra-court appeal is that the learned Single Judge has dismissed the appellant's writ petition by referring a wrong provision of Rules which the appellant has unfortunately not placed on record, we dismiss this appeal with liberty to the appellant to file a review application alongwith complete set of Rules and make out a case before the learned Single Judge that he is entitled to the benefits sought in the writ petition, under such Rules or that there is no bar under those Rules against the release of retiral benefits.

**[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE**

September 05, 2016
mohinder

**[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No