

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.2793 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.05.2016

Naresh Kumar and others

...Appellants

Versus

Bal Kishan and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for the appellants.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

In pursuance to the previous order, compromise has been effected between both the parties. Copy of the same has been handed over to the Court today.

Both the parties are present in the court which have been identified by Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for the appellants submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present appeal in view of the compromise.

In view of the aforementioned fact, present appeal is disposed of as withdrawn.

Compromise deed has been taken on record and marked as Mark-C1 and appended at the end of the paper book.

Parties shall be bound by the compromise and the same be made part of the record of the paper book.

10.05.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**