

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CM-3185-LPA-2016 in/and
LPA No.1518 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.08.2016**

Veerpal Kaur

... Appellant

VS.

State of Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

1. Whether speaking / reasoned?	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable?	Yes / No
3. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?	Yes / No
4. To be referred to the Reporters or not?	Yes / No
5. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?	Yes / No

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the appellant

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

CM-3185-LPA-2016

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the delay of 42 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

LPA No.1518 of 2016 (O&M)

(1) The appellant's writ petition challenging the self-speaking order dated 19.11.2014 and further seeking her reinstatement as Education Volunteer has been dismissed by learned Single Judge vide order under appeal dated 23.04.2016.

(2) The appellant was appointed as Education Volunteer under the Village Education Development Committee. One of the conditions of engagement used to be that a person shall be appointed as Education Volunteer in his/her village. The services of appellant were dispensed with on 01.12.2009. Thereafter some Education Volunteers of other villages were

reinstated in the year 2011. On the strength of such order(s), the appellant

approached this Court in CWP No.21632 of 2012. The writ petition was disposed of on 05.02.2013 in terms of some other decision where it was held that the post of Education Volunteer is a stop gap arrangement on purely temporary basis.

(3) Be that as it may, in terms of the directions issued by this Court whereby the writ petition was disposed of, the competent authority passed the speaking order where it has been categorically mentioned that no similarly-situated person has been re-appointed/re-engaged and no Education Volunteer has been appointed in the appellant's Village. It has been further observed that the very scheme including the composition of the Village Education Development Committees have since been disbanded w.e.f. 01.04.2012. It obviously means that there is no post or assignment of Education Volunteer in existence after that date.

(4) In this view of the matter, the appellant has failed to make out a case of violation of Articles 14 or 16 of the Constitution.

(5) Dismissed.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

23.08.2016
vishal shonkar

(Sudip Ahluwalia)
Judge