

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No.1431 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 10, 2016

Parvinder Singh

.....Appellant

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.Inderjeet Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The appellant was admittedly engaged as Data Entry Operator in the office of Director Public Instructions (School Education), Punjab through a service provider, namely, respondent No.7-Corporation. Since there is no direct relationship of master and servant between the appellant and the Education Department, Punjab, that the learned Single Judge has declined his claim for regularization of services.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant.

In view of the fact that the appellant is engaged in the office of the Director Public Instructions (School Cadre), Punjab for the last six years or so, in no way establishes that he has been directly engaged. Admittedly, services of the appellant have been provided by respondent No.7 and salary is also being paid to the appellant through respondent No.7.

In these circumstances, the appellant cannot be held to be an

employee of the respondent-department.

No case to interfere with the order under appeal is made out.

Dismissed.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

November 10, 2016
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No