

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1367 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 19.09.2016

Parveen Kumar Sangwan

....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr.Jatin Hans, Advocate
for the appellant.

MAHESH GROVER, J. -

CM No.2867-LPA of 2016

After hearing the learned counsel for the appellant,
delay of 129 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

C.M.stands allowed.

LPA No.1367 of 2016

The services of the appellant, who was on contractual terms, were not renewed after the expiry of contract on the basis of adverse remarks which slur has now been removed. The learned Single Judge has accepted the writ petition filed by the appellant.

The grievance of the appellant is now confined to the aspect that once the slur of adverse remarks has been removed, he would be entitled to reinstatement.

After hearing the learned counsel for the appellant, we do not find any justification to interfere with the order of the learned Single Judge which has largely accepted the writ petition filed by the appellant. However, since the adverse remarks now stand removed from the service record of the appellant, the respondents would be at liberty to reevaluate the case of the appellant for re-induction in service in case they feel so. Evidently, they are not bound to reinstate the appellant considering the contractual nature of the service and they would be at liberty to consider the case of the appellant de hors the adverse remarks.

Appeal stands disposed of.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

19.09.2016
dss

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No