

LPA no. 1784 of 2015 (O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA no. 1784 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 04.10.2016

Manoj Kumar

....Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE DR. SHEKHER DHAWAN**

Present : Mr.Naresh Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. R.D.Yadav, Advocate for the appellant

MAHESH GROVER, J.

This appeal is directed against the judgment of the learned Single Judge dated 21.9.2015 negating the claim of the appellant for the post of Nambardar.

The argument raised before learned Single Judge as also before us is that the appellant is younger in age i.e 35 years and qualified upto 10+2 whereas the selected candidate- respondent no.4 is 42 years and is 9th pass. Apart from this, it is contended that the said respondent has been selected largely on the ground that he was a son of deceased Nambardar and this consideration was erroneously applied in view of the fact that rule of primogeniture has been done away with.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and we do not

find any reason to interfere with the findings recorded by the learned Single

Judge. There is no exceptional merit pointed out in the claim of the appellant and we notice from the orders of the authorities below that it is not purely on the basis of heredity that the claim has been considered. Relative merits have been compared with the experience of working as Nambardar being one of the considerations amongst the others.

Hence, there is no merit in the instant appeal and the same is hereby dismissed.

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge

04.10.2016
rekha

(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No