

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 1780 of 2015 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION : 01.02.2016

Hari Singh

.... APPELLANT

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present : Mr. Sachin Gupta Ladwa, Advocate,
for the appellant.

* * *

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This intra-court appeal under Clause X of the Letters Patent has been filed against the order dated 06.05.2014 passed by the learned Single Judge, dismissing the writ petition (CWP No. 8586 of 2014) filed by the appellant seeking direction to the respondents to promote him to the post of Head Teacher with effect from 09.11.1988, the date from which his junior was promoted to the said post.

Though there is delay of 460 days in re-filing and 45 days in filing the appeal, and the appellant has filed applications (CM Nos. 3833-34-LPA of 2015) for condoning the said delay, yet we have heard learned counsel for the appellant and have gone through the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge.

After hearing learned counsel for the appellant, we do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order. In this case, the appellant was promoted as Head Teacher in April, 2000. At that time, he neither submitted any representation to the authorities nor filed any petition claiming that he should be promoted to the said post with effect from 09.11.1988, the date his junior was promoted. Not only this, in the year 2006, the appellant retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation. Even thereafter, he remained silent and only in the year 2014, he filed the writ petition claiming that he should be promoted to the post of Head Teacher from 09.11.1988, the date his junior was promoted, with all consequential benefits. In our opinion, the learned Single Judge has rightly dismissed the said writ petition on the ground of delay and laches. The appellant failed to give any satisfactory reason for not approaching the court at the relevant time. Thus, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge.

No merit. Dismissed.

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

February 01, 2016
ndj

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE