

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

R.S.A.No.4976 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 22, 2016

M/s Haryana Enterprises, Rohtak Road Julana, District Jind & another

...Appellants

Versus

Haryana Warehousing Corporation, Panchkula & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.M.K.Garg, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr.K.K.Gupta, Advocate,
for the respondents.

AMIT RAWAL, J.

For orders, see **Regular Second Appeal No.3517 of 2011**

(Haryana Warehousing Corporation, Panchkula & others Versus

M/s Haryana Enterprises, Rohtak Road, Julana, District Jind &

another), decided on 22.7.2016.

July 22 , 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No