

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.1102 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: June 28, 2016.

Krishan Sangwan

... Appellant

v.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Shri Ajay Jain, Advocate, for the appellant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

This intra-court appeal is directed against the interim order dated 22.6.2016 passed by the learned Single Judge declining interim relief that was prayed for by the learned counsel for the appellant-petitioner.

We find prima facie, and as stated by learned Counsel for the appellant, that the appellant does not have any title document to substantiate his claim. The attempt is made to establish his possession over the land in occupation on the strength of documents such as property tax, water and electricity bill payments. That is not sufficient to support title. Mere long possession does not partake the character of any legal title.

In that view of the matter, we do not find any merit in the appeal. The same is, therefore, summarily dismissed.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

[**Anita Chaudhry**]
Judge

June 28, 2016.

kadyan