

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA-1092-2016 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: August 19, 2016**

Paras Ram

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr.C.L.Premy, Advocate  
for the appellant.

.....

**SURYA KANT, J.**

In view of the fact that in domestic enquiry the Enquiry Officer returned a categorical finding that Charges No.1, 2 and 3 having been proved against the appellant, Charge No.5, namely, that he has violated the Punjab School Education Board Employees Conduct Regulations, also stands proved against him, the disciplinary authority imposed punishment of stoppage of one increment without cumulative effect. The departmental appeal also failed. So was the fate of his writ petition challenging those orders. In this intra-Court appeal, the order of learned Single Judge is now under challenge.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant at a considerable length and gone through the record.

He has made an earnest effort to make out a case that the

allegations against the appellant could not have been held to be proved in view of statements of witnesses. We are afraid that keeping in view the limited scope of judicial review, such like factual issues cannot be entertained. His second contention in respect of notice with regard to suspension period need not to be delved upon by us. The appellant will be at liberty to approach the competent authority for taking a sympathetic view and decide the fate of his suspension period.

With these observations and liberty, the appeal is dismissed.

**( SURYA KANT )**  
**JUDGE**

**August 19, 2016**  
meenuss

**( SUDIP AHLUWALIA )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

: Yes/No  
: Yes/No