

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 2013 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 16.3.2016

Hukam Chand (deceased) through LRs

.. Appellants

versus

The Haryana Tourism Corporation Limited and another

.. Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Harinder Singh Sidhu**

Present: Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Shubham Aggarwal, Advocate, for the respondents.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The successors-in-interest of Hukam Chand had filed the present appeal impugning the order passed by the learned Single Judge.

Hukam Chand, who was reverted from the post of Assistant to Clerk had filed the writ petition challenging his reversion. He was promoted as Assistant on 14.10.1990 and was placed on probation for a period of one year. The order of reversion passed during the period of probation was challenged alleging the same to be cryptic. It was alleged that neither any charge-sheet was served nor any enquiry was held. The matter was examined by the learned Single Judge and it was found that there was no oblique motive for the order passed reverting the father of the appellants. The order is not stigmatic. The record from the Corporation was called for. It was found that the deceased was not doing his duties as Assistant diligently. Lapses were noticed twice and even his explanation was sought for not carrying out his duties properly. No explanation was furnished. May be for the reason that he was a union leader.

Considering the aforesaid facts, which have been rightly examined by the learned Single Judge, we do not find any merit in the present appeal. The same is accordingly dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

16.3.2016
vs

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge