

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

R.S.A.No.4786 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 11, 2016

Shambhu Flour Mills, R.S.Pura, District Jammu & others

...Appellants

Versus

**M/s Tilok Chand Mangat Rai, Grain Merchant & Commission Agents,
Raman**

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**Present: Mr.V.K.Sachdeva, Advocate,
for the appellants.**

**Mr.J.R.Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Lalit Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondent.**

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the parties have compromised the matter and placed on record the original compromise, which is not disputed by Mr.J.R.Mittal, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr.Lalit Sharma, for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appeal be disposed of and the parties be directed to bind by the terms and conditions of the compromise.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and appraised the paper book.

Copy of the compromise is taken on record. The same is

marked as C1. Registry is directed to tag the compromise at the end of the paper book.

In view of the compromise, no cause of action survives. The appeal stands disposed of in terms of the compromise. Parties shall be bound by the terms and conditions of the compromise, which may be read as part and parcel of this order.

May 11, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE