

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-1301-2012 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.05.2016

Rajpati

... Appellant

Versus

Pyari and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Santosh Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that during the pendency of the appeal, the parties have arrived at a compromise. Copy of the affidavit of the appellant qua the factum of having arrived at a compromise has been placed on record along with the General Power of Attorney given by the appellant in favour of Dharmender and the same are taken on record.

This fact is not disputed by Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the appellant prays for withdrawal of the present appeal.

In view of the aforesaid observations, the appeal is ordered to be withdrawn and the parties shall be bound by the compromise.

At this stage, Mr. Santosh Sharma, Advocate submits that Court fees of ₹ 30,000/- had been paid and prays for refund of the same.

In view of the fact that the parties arrived at a compromise, the appellant(s) is granted liberty to move an appropriate application for withdrawal of the Court fees in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

19.05.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE