

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

215

FAO-M-411-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 25, 2016

RAKESH KUMAR

-APPELLANT

VS

NEELAM

-RESPONDENT

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Angirus Pulkit, Advocate for
Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate
for the respondent.

.....

M. JEYAPPAUL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that he has got no instruction from the respondent but he got information from the counsel engaged by the respondent before the trial Court that the main petition filed by the appellant-husband seeking divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 was already adjudicated upon by the trial Court and therefore, nothing survives in the present appeal preferred by the appellant aggrieved by the quantum of maintenance fixed under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

Learned counsel for the appellant also submits that he does not have any instructions.

In view of the above development in this case, the present appeal stands dismissed.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

25.05.2016
Jyoti Y.