

**CM-19168-CII-2015 IN/AND
FAO No. M-374 of 2015**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

205

**CM-19168-CII-2015 IN/AND
FAO No. M-374 of 2015
Date of Decision: April 04, 2016**

VIKRAM ABROL

-APPELLANT

VERSUS

MILI UPSANA

-RESPONDENT

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: None for the appellant.

M. JEYAPPAUL, J. (ORAL)

Though learned proxy counsel appeared for the appellant in the morning but has not appeared when the matter was taken during second call.

Consecutively, for two hearings, appellant has not

furnished the correct address of the respondent to enable the Court to serve notice upon the respondent.

It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. Therefore, the application seeking condonation of delay of 190 days in preferring the appeal as well as the main appeal is dismissed in default.

Ordered accordingly.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 04, 2016

Jyoti Y.