

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106-2

CM No.13430-31-CI of 2016

in/and

RFA No.2189 of 2013(O & M)

Date of Decision:20.12.2016

Surinder Kaur

....appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.G.S.Bains, Advocate for
Mr.Vijay Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM-13430-CI-2016

Allowed as prayed for.

CM-13431-CI-2016

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment dated 2.3.2016, rendered by this Court in RFA No. 991 of 2013 (O&M), titled “Tarlochan Singh and another v. State of Punjab and another, vide which this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the land owners, as also the correction carried therein vide order dated 16.5.2016, passed by this Court.

Notice of the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. K.K. Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, present in the Court, accept notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position as set out in the application has not been disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

Consequently, civil miscellaneous application is disposed of and the main appeal is taken on board for final disposal.

RFA-2189-2013

For, concededly the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision of this Court, dated 2.3.2016, in the case of Tarlochan Singh and another (supra) and the order dated 16.5.2016, the present appeal too is disposed of in the same terms.

20.12.2016

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No.

Whether reportable-

Yes/No