

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.3246 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of decision:3.3.2016

Ram Parsad and others

....Appellants

VERSUS

Bulla Ram and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. J.S. Yadav, Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate for respondents No.5 to 8,
10 and 11.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the respondents have stated that the final decree in pursuance of the preliminary decree has already been passed and the property in dispute has been partitioned by metes and bounds in view of report dated 16.08.2014 submitted by the Local Commissioner Sh. Shiv Chand Gupta.

Counsel for the appellants, in view of the statement made by counsel for the respondents, would state that the appeal may be disposed of accordingly without prejudice to the rights of the appellants to raise their grievance before an appropriate authority/Court, if any.

Disposed of accordingly.

MARCH 3, 2016
'D. Gulati'

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE