

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO M-223 of 2014 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- April 05, 2016**

Raj Rani

...Appellant

Versus

Sat Pal

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JEYAPPAUL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Lalit Pathak, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate for the respondent.

M.JEYAPPAUL, J.

Counsel for the appellant submits that appellant Raj Rani has died.

We adverted to the question whether anything survives for prosecution by the legal representatives of the appellant on her demise. We find that Raj Rani in fact filed the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act seeking divorce from the respondent husband on the ground of cruelty and desertion but the same was dismissed and, therefore, she has preferred the present appeal.

Under such circumstances, we are of the view that nothing survives for her legal representatives to agitate before the Appellate Court.

Appeal abates.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 05, 2016

p.singh