

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

R.S.A.No.2299 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: August 8th, 2016

Sewa Ram

...Appellant

Versus

Smt.Roshni Devi & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Madan Pal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr.Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1 to 3, 7, 8 & 12.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Order dated 29.1.2015 reveals that the statement was made that the parties had arrived at a compromise and sought time to place on record the same. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that despite many reminders to the parties, no body has come to bring on record the compromise.

I am of the view that once the parties have compromised the matter, they must be under the impression that there would be no need to come to this Court as they are bound by the compromise and not

interested in pursuing the appeal.

R.S.A.No.2299 of 2011 (O&M)

: 2 :

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, liberty is granted to the parties to move an appropriate application for revival of the appeal, if need be.

August 8th, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No