

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. FAO No.6159 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.11.2016

Dharmo Devi and others

...Appellants

Versus

Union of India and others

..Respondents

2. FAO No.6404 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.11.2016

Chanan Devi and others

...Appellants

Versus

Union of India and others

..Respondents

3. FAO No.6479 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.11.2016

Kulwinder Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

..Respondents

4. FAO No.6498 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.11.2016

Amrik Singh

(deceased through LRs)

...Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

..Respondents

5.

FAO No.6499 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.11.2016

Usha Gupta

...Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Paramjit Rajput, Advocate for appellants.
(In FAO Nos.6498 and 6499 of 2016)

Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for appellants
(In FAO Nos.6159, 6404 and 6479 of 2016)

Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate for NHAI
(In all cases)

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

CM No.20977-CII of 2016

For the reasons stated in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, delay of 1835 days in filing the appeal is condoned, with rider that claimant would not be entitled to interest for the

period they did not chose to file the appeal.

Application is allowed.

Main Cases

All the aforementioned appeals are disposed of in terms of order passed by this Court in FAO No.9678 of 2014, decided on 30.03.2016 titled as UOI and another Vs. Tehal Singh and others.

However, it is made clear that landowners shall not be entitled to element of interest for the period they had not approached this Court for seeking enhancement, in essence, aforementioned period seeking condonation of delay shall be excluded.

08.11.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No