

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

FAO No.8688 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: August 10, 2016

Bal Kishan ...Appellant

Versus

Rohit Kumar & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Dhanda, Advocate for
Ms. Manisha Beniwal, Advocate for No.3.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Present appeal has been preferred by the appellant dissatisfied with the compensation granted by the tribunal.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that tribunal has erred in not granting adequate compensation to the appellant on account of death of his mule. He, thus, submitted that reasonable compensation may be granted to the appellant.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.3 insurance company submits that adequate compensation has been awarded for the death of the mule.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

It appears that accident took place on 31.3.2013, in which mule of the appellant had died. The tribunal assessed Rs.60,000/- as

compensation regarding death of mule and further a sum of Rs.10,000/- for transportation and funeral expenses of mule. In my considered view, compensation granted by the tribunal is on the lower side. In the facts and circumstances of the case, it would be appropriate to grant another sum of Rs.20,000/- to the appellant. Appeal is allowed in these terms. Award of the tribunal is modified to this extent. Rest of the award is maintained as such.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

August 10, 2016
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable:	Yes / No