

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-8338-2014

CM-22652-CII-2014

Date of decision : November 17, 2016

Sohil Dutta

...Appellant

VERSUS

Nidhi Gupta

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.JEYAPPAUL.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present : Mr.Aakashdep Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Balram Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

M.JEYAPPAUL, J.(ORAL)**CM-22652-CII-2014**

Counsel for the appellant seeks permission to withdraw this application.

Application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

FAO-8338-2014

Appellant Sohil Dutta has preferred the present appeal aggrieved by the dismissal of his main prayer seeking custody of the minor female child Raunaq invoking the provisions under Sections 7, 12 and 25 of the Guardian and Wards Act, 1890.

We find that the trial Court in paragraph 14 of its order has granted some visitation rights while dismissing the main prayer seeking custody of the child.

Counsel appearing for the appellant submits before the Court that if the respondent agrees to send the female child during Dussehra

holidays in the custody of the appellant and permits the appellant to have a telephonic conversation with the female child at a time suitable not only to the child but also to the respondent, then he may not press the appeal.

Counsel for the respondent, on instructions, submits that the respondent has virtually no objection for sending the female child during Dussehra holiday to the appellant and also for facilitating telephonic conversation by the appellant with the female child at the time suitable not only to the child but also to the respondent.

In view of the above consensus arrived at between the parties, we direct them to adhere to the visitation rights granted to the appellant by the trial Court. In addition thereto, as per the agreed terms expressed before us, we direct the respondent to send the female child during every Dussehra holidays declared by the school where the female child is studying to the custody of the appellant and also permit him to have a telephonic conversation with the female child at the time which is suitable not only to the child but also to the mother.

With the above directions issued by us, the appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

November 17, 2016

anju

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No