

RSA No.1413 of 2011

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.1413 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 23, 2016

Baldev singh

...Appellant

Versus

Atma Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Varinder Singh Rana, Advocate
for the appellant.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (Oral):

Vide order dated 04.08.2014, the appellant was required to submit the fresh correct address of the respondent but till date, the same has not been supplied to the Registry and therefore, notice could not be issued.

Counsel for the appellant states that the address as has been mentioned in the memo of parties is the only address available with the appellant. He, therefore, contends that the appellant is unable to give the fresh correct address of the respondent.

In view of the fact that the respondent has not been served so far and the appellant is unable to supply the fresh correct address of the respondent, the present appeal cannot progress any further. The same deserves dismissal on this ground itself. However, on perusal of the impugned judgments and decree in the present

appeal, it is apparent that the suit for recovery of ₹25,000/- was filed against the respondent-defendant, which was dismissed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Barnala, on 05.08.2010, appeal against which preferred by the appellant-plaintiff was also dismissed by the Additional District Judge, Barnala, on 04.12.2010. No question of law is involved in the present appeal, which would require consideration of this Court. There are concurrent findings recorded by both the Courts below against the appellant-plaintiff.

In view of the above, the present appeal stands dismissed being devoid of any merit.

February 23, 2016

Harish

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**