

Sr. No.101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH.**

Anuradha
2016-05-13 14:03
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**FAO No.8266 of 2014 (O&M)
Decided on : 12.05.2016**

Pooja and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Kewal Singh and others

.....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Pardeep Rajput, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vandana Malhotra, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

AJAY TEWARI J. (ORAL)

CM No.9670-CII-2016

This is an application for deciding the case in view of settlement between the parties. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 has offered a sum of Rs. 2,50,000/- more to the appellants in full and final settlement of claim. Learned counsel for appellants states that he has instructions to accept this settlement. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 states that the amount shall be deposited before the Tribunal in the same proportion as was computed by the Tribunal within four weeks from the certified copy for disbursal to the appellant.

Thus in view of the matter, the CM is allowed

FAO No.8266 of 2014

The case is disposed of in the above terms.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

**May 12, 2016
anuradha**