

258

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-6047-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 22.01.2016

Gagan Nagpal and another

...Appellants

Versus

M/s Cholamandalam Investment and Finance Co. Ltd. and others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate
for the appellant.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The appellants are aggrieved of the order dated 31.07.2015, whereby the objections have been dismissed on the premise that the Award dated 28.06.2011 has been passed at Madras, in essence, Ambala Court did not have the jurisdiction to entertain the objections.

Mr. Vivek Suri, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits that the appellant did not receive any notice of the arbitration proceedings alleged to have been conducted by the Arbitrator and since, the agreement was entered into Ambala,

accordingly, the objections were filed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the appellant and appraised the paper book.

The ground of non-receipt of the notice of the arbitration proceedings would be available only in case, the appellants had filed the objections in a competent Court of law i.e. Principal Court at Madras not in Ambala and rightly so, the objections have been dismissed for want of territorial jurisdiction.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, I do not find any illegality and perversity in the order, much less, much less, no substantial questions of law arises for determination of this Court.

Accordingly, the appeal dismissed.

22.01.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE