

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**FAO No.498 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 10, 2016**

Reliance General Insurance Co. Ltd.

.....APPELLANT.

VERSUS

Santosh and others

.....RESPONDENTS.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate
for the appellant.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.

Heard.

This is an appeal filed by Reliance General Insurance Company Limited against the award dated 21st September, 2015 passed by Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rohtak. Learned counsel for the appellant has challenged the quantum of compensation awarded in this case on the ground that the Tribunal has taken the multiplier as per the age of claimant No.1- Santosh as eleven. The age of claimant No.1 was 57 years and not 55 years, as such, and the multiplier applicable to this case was nine instead of eleven.

The above submission of learned counsel for the appellant has no force in view of the observations of Apex Court in the case of *Reshma Kumar and others vs. Madan Mohan and another*, (2013) 9 SCC 65 and

Munna Lal Jain and others vs. Vipin Kumar Sharma and others, 2015(3) RCR (Civil) 447, wherein, it has been specifically held that multiplier is to be applied as per the age of the deceased and not as per the age of claimant. In view of settled preposition of law, it is immaterial whether claimant was 55 or 57 years of age.

No other point has been argued. This appeal has no merits.

Dismissed.

February 10, 2016.
deepak/jv.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE