

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM Nos.17767, 17766, 17768-CI of 2011 & 8531-32,
9192, 9388, 9456-CI of 2016 in/and
RFA No.7675 of 2011
Date of Decision : 22.08.2016.**

Pyare Lal

.....Appellant

Versus

Jagdish and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Ashwani Gaur, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.
Mr. Bhagender Singh, Advocate for respondent No.4.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM No.17767-CI of 2011

This is an application for condonation of delay of 534 days in filing the accompanying appeal. For the reasons set out in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the delay of 534 days that has occurred in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

CM No.17766-CI of 2011

Application is allowed as prayed for and photocopy of annexures A/4 to A/12 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CM No.9192-CI of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for and affidavit dated 05.08.2016, is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CM No.9388-CI of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for and affidavit dated 10.08.2016 of respondent No.4, is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CM No.9456-CI of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for and affidavits of respondent Nos. 1 to 3, are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CM No.8531-CI of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for and Annexure P-13 (compromise deed dated 20.07.2016), is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CM No.8532-CI of 2016 in/and RFA No.7675 of 2011 (O&M)

The matter in hand arises out of a dispute qua title, under Section 30, of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. For, the parties to the lis purports to have settled the dispute wholly vide a compromise deed, dated 20.07.2016, (Annexure A-13), an application, under Order 23 Rule 3, of the Code of Civil Procedure has been moved to dispose of the appeal in terms thereof.

Learned counsel for the parties submit that the specific affidavits of each of the appellants as also the respondents, in this regard, have been placed on record. And, all of whom, as stated by the learned counsel, are present in person, and are identified by their respective counsel. Except, Premwati (respondent No.2 in RFA No.7188 of 2014 and respondent No.4 in RFA No.7675 of 2011) and Brij Mohan (respondent No.2 in RFA No.7675 of 2011 and respondent No.4 in RFA No.7188 of

2014). Although, as indicated above, their affidavits in acknowledgement of the compromise deed dated 20.07.2016 (Annexure A-13) have been filed. Thus, it is submitted that let the appeal be disposed of in terms of the compromise deed dated 20.07.2016, (Annexure A-13).

In conspectus of the above position, compromise deed dated 20.07.2016, (Annexure A-13), is taken on record, and is marked as mark 'A'. The appeal is disposed of in terms of the compromise deed dated 20.07.2016 (mark-A), and the decree sheet shall be drawn accordingly.

22.08.2016

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No