

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No.5638 of 2015
Date of Decision: 19.01.2016**

Kailash Chand & anotherAppellants

Versus

Nisha & others
....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL**

Present: Mr. MPS Chandel, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. M.S.Randhawa, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

RAJIVE BHALLA, J (ORAL)

The appellants, who are paternal grand parents of the minor, had filed a petition for custody of the minor child Bhavna, but vide order dated 04.03.2015 their petition was dismissed by the Additional District Judge, Narnaul.

The appeal came up consideration on 12.10.2015 and the following order was passed:-

“We have heard learned counsel for the parties, perused the impugned order and are satisfied that custody of the minor has been rightly allowed to the respondent-mother.

At this stage, counsel for the appellants prays that the appellants may be granted visitation rights.

Notice of motion for 30.10.2015, limited to the ground of visitation rights.”

The only question that thus remains is whether the appellants should be granted visitation rights?

We have heard counsel for the parties and as we find no legal impediment or disability in allowing visitation rights to the appellants nor has any such legal impediment or disability been pointed out by counsel for respondents No.3 and 4, dispose of the appeal by affirming the impugned order and directing the respondents to produce the minor child in the office of the Secretary, Legal Services Authority, Narnaul, on the first Saturday, of each month between 2.00 PM and 4.00 PM for interaction with the appellants.

[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE

19.01.2016
Vinay

[LISA GILL]
JUDGE