

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.667 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.09.2016

Shri Ram General Insurance Company Limited

....Appellant

Versus

Meenu and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. T.K. Joshi, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate
for Mr. Saurabh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

REKHA MITTAL J. (Oral)

The present appeal has been preferred by the insurance company to assail the award passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Sonapat only qua quantum of compensation.

The case is pending since 09.05.2014 for service of respondents No.1 to 3 (claimants) and counsel for the appellant has failed to furnish their correct addresses despite repeated adjournments granted for the purpose. On 29.05.2015 final opportunity was granted to file correct address and thereafter on 19.11.2015 one more opportunity was given for necessary compliance within 15 days. The order passed by this Court on 01.04.2016, reads as follows:-

“Learned counsel for the appellant seeks some more time to furnish complete address of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Considering his request one more but last opportunity is granted subject to payment of costs of Rs.1000/-. The costs be deposited in the High Court Legal

Services Authority, Chandigarh.

Current and complete address of respondents No. 1 to 3 be filed within 20 days and then notice to them be issued for 01.09.2016”.

Counsel for the appellant has neither furnished the latest address of respondents No.1 to 3 nor deposited an amount of Rs.1,000/- in compliance of aforesaid order. As the appeal has been preferred by the insurance company only *qua* quantum of compensation and the appellant has failed to serve respondents No.1 to 3 by complying with the directions of this Court, the appeal fails and is ordered to be dismissed.

As a result of dismissal of the appeal, order of stay granted by this Court on 31.01.2014 loses its life. The Registry is directed to send necessary intimation to the Tribunal concerned.

Disposed of accordingly.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

01.09.2016
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No