

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

FAO No.4008 of 2016(O & M)

Date of Decision:08.08.2016

Gian Chand and another

....appellants

Versus

M/s Shree Padam Dhoop Industries and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Yogesh Gupta, Advocate
for the appellants

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

Vide order, being assailed, dated 10.05.2016, rendered by the Additional District Judge, Sangrur, application moved by the appellants-plaintiffs under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC, to injunct the defendants from passing off or using the Trade Mark, Trade Name (Prbhu Darshan) or other identical trade mark which is deceptively similar to the plaintiffs' Trade Mark, was dismissed.

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the appellants submits that let this appeal be disposed of only with a direction to the learned Additional District Judge, to decide the suit within a specified time.

That being so, the appeal is disposed of with a direction to the learned Additional District Judge, Sangrur, who is seized of the matter, to decide the suit as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six months from the receipt of the certified copy of this order.

08.08.2016

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No