

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

**R.S.A. NO.3722 OF 2010 (O&M)**

**DATE OF DECISION: MAY 13, 2016**

Nirmal Singh

.....Appellant

VERSUS

Gurmeet Singh

....Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Charanpal Singh Bagri, Advocate,  
for the appellant.

Mr. Balbir Singh, Advocate,  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

Counsel for the respondent states that the amount due, as per the execution filed, has been paid to the respondent by the appellant and the execution application has also been withdrawn.

Counsel for the appellant does not dispute this fact, rather states that a compromise has been entered into between the parties and in pursuance thereof, the matter has been settled and, thus, the appellant prays that the present appeal may be disposed of as infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

**May 13, 2016**  
**khurmi**

**( AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH )**  
**JUDGE**