

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No. 334 of 2010

Date of Decision: 01.09.2016

Prem

.....Appellant

Vs.

Manphool (deceased) through his Legal Representative and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing also, none had appeared for the parties.

It was noticed that despite service none had appeared for respondent
No. 10 (i) (a), (b), (ii) and (iii).

Respondents No. 10 (iv) to (vi) were not stated to be residing at the
given address.

Hence, though counsel for the appellant was not present, it had been
directed that he should supply the fresh addressees+ of the respondents, within a
period of three weeks'.

Since the needful has not been done as per the report of the Registry
and none is present again for the appellant, the appeal is dismissed for non-
prosecution.

A copy of this order be sent to the appellant.

September 01, 2016
nitin

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No.