

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH**

**CM No. 11954-CII of 2016 in/and  
FAO No. 4328 of 2015  
Date of decision : June 3, 2016**

**Union of India and another**

**..... Appellants**

**Versus**

**Trishna Devi and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present:- Mr. Satyendra Chauhan, Advocate for  
Mr. R. S. Madan, Advocate  
for the NHAI.

Mr. Deepak Verma, Advocate  
for the applicant-respondent No.1.

\*\*\*

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

**Amit Rawal, J (oral).**

**CM No. 11954-CII of 2016**

The application is allowed.

The appeal is preponed to today itself and taken on Board.

**FAO No. 4328 of 2015**

The controversy involved in the present appeal has already been adjudicated upon by this Court in FAO No. 880 of 2015. Therefore, the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the judgment passed in FAO No. 880 of 2015 (Union of India and another Vs. Surjit Kumar and others) decided on 30.3.2016.

**(AMIT RAWAL)  
JUDGE**

**June 3, 2016  
archana**