

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-3196-2010 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.05.2016

G.R. Dhir @ Gandharav Raj Dhir and another

... Appellants

Versus

Rajiv Kumar and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Bhavnik Mehta, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4, 6 to 9.

Mr. P.L. Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.21.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

CM-9380-C-2010

Prayer in this application filed under Order 22 Rule 3 read with Section 151 CPC is for impleading the legal representatives of the appellant No.1, namely, G.R. Dhir.

For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed and the legal representatives of appellant No.1 are permitted to be brought on record for the purpose of prosecuting the appeal.

CM stands disposed of.

RSA-3196-2010

The appeal is at the instance of the defendant No.21 against the drawing of the preliminary decree in a suit for partition of the suit property..

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant(s) submits that Rajiv Kumar son of Tarlok Nath-appellant No.2 has purchased the share from G.R. Dhir. The finding qua the *bona fide* purchaser has been rendered in his favour. During the course of the hearing in respect of drawing of the final decree arising in the appeal bearing No.5716 of 2012, the parties have arrived at a compromise with regard to the proposal at page No.68 made by the Local Commissioner.

In view of the aforesaid facts, learned counsel for the appellant(s) submits that he does not press this present appeal and accepts the drawing of the preliminary decree.

In view of the aforesaid observations, the appeal is ordered to be withdrawn.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

19.05.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE