

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.3960 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 04.04.2016

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd.

...Appellant

Versus

M/s Luxmi Transformers and Electricals and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Naresh Markanda, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

It has been brought to the notice of this Court that controversy in dispute is already been adjudicated by this Court in FAO No.6215 of 2015.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts and circumstances, the present appeal is dismissed in terms of the order dated 20.10.2015 rendered in FAO No.6215 of 2015 titled as Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. Hisar Vs. M/s Svasca Industries (India) Ltd. Delhi, 48 KM Mill Stone Delhi, Mathura Road Village Pirthala Palwala, District Faridabad and another.

04.04.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**