IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 5512 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 10, 2016

Shri Ram General Insurance Company Ltd.

...Appellant

Versus

Smt. Krishna Devi and others

...Respondents

ORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Ms. Kaavya Jariyal, Advocate, for
Mr. T.K. Joshi, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate, for
Mr. Parveen Rohilla, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 to 4.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The appeal is by the insurance company on the question of quantum. The only serious point that is urged is that the Tribunal has provided an increase of prospect of 30% when the deceased was 50 years of age. The provision for increase was with reference to a person who was running a milk diary and supporting a family of his wife and three minor children. The Tribunal was taking merely the income of ₹5,000/- per month and increase in future prospects. The income provided was surely very modest and if it has provided for prospect of increase, I do not think the Tribunal has made any serious error. The proper income which could have been taken would have been ₹7,500/- on average. The overall compensation determined at ₹9,85,500/- is fair and just and calls for no interference.

2. The appeal is dismissed.

March 10, 2016 **(K.KANNAN)**
prem **JUDGE**