

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO NO. 3814 OF 2015 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 13.05.2016**

HDFC ERGO General Insurance Co. Ltd.

...Appellant

versus

Kamlesh Devi and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Goyal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This is an appeal filed by the Insurance Company against the Award dated 16.08.2014.

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that the Tribunal erred in applying the principle of "***New India Assurance Co. Ltd. vs. Gopali and others***" 2012 (3) RCR (Civil) 818".

I find that even if that fact may be taken as correct, there are numerous other heads under which the income has been wrongly computed. The multiplier has been fixed at 12

whereas it should be 15, as per "***Sarla Verma and another v. Delhi Transport Corporation and others***", 2009 (3) RCR (Civil) 77. Further the amount paid on account of love and affection was highly inadequate.

In these circumstances, the instant appeal is without any merit and the same stands dismissed.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

MAY 13, 2016
shalini